

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	§	Group Art Unit: 2614
Michael Wayne Brown <i>et al.</i>	§	
	§	Examiner: Patel, Hemant Shantilal
Serial No.: 10/645,959	§	
	§	Atty Docket No.: AUS920010819US2
Filed: 8/22/2003	§	
	§	Customer No.: 34533
Title: Intermediary Device Initiated Caller	§	
Identification	§	Confirmation No.: 8404

Mail Stop: Amendment  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED NOVEMBER 14, 2006**

Dear Sir:

This is a Response to the Office Action dated November 14, 2006 (hereafter “the Current Office Action”). Examiner Patel issued the Office Action in response to the Applicants’ arguments to the Previous Office Action dated July 13, 2006 (hereafter “the Previous Office Action”). Claims 1-32 are in the case. Applicants acknowledge with thanks the telephone conference with Examiner Patel on Monday, January 8, 2007. In accordance with that telephone conference, Applicants present the following amendment and remarks demonstrating that the case is in condition for allowance.

**There are no amendments to the drawings in this paper.**

**There are no amendments to the specification in this paper.**

**The amendments to the claims begin on page 2 of this paper.**

**Remarks begin on page 12 of this paper.**